



Erasmus+ Capacity Building in Higher education

How to prepare a good project proposal?

Piia Heinämäki

Education, Audiovisual and Culture Executive Agency

Erasmus+ Info Day Tashkent, 5 October 2018



OUTLINES

I. How to get started

II. Preparing the application based on the requirements of the call

III. Selection process



Part I

How to get started



A successful proposal <u>demonstrates</u> that the combination of all its elements will produce concrete and sustainable results for the benefit of all the parties concerned.



What is a good proposal?

- A good proposal is a proposal that
 - > Has been prepared and agreed jointly by the partnership.
 - ➤ Has received the <u>full **commitment**</u> and <u>support</u> of the participating institutions.
 - > Is ready to start immediately after the selection decision.
- > All proposals start from individual initiatives.
- ▶ Good proposals are always the result of a joint institutional commitment.



Necessary conditions

- 1. You have a "broad knowledge" of Erasmus+
- 2. You have a <u>concrete idea</u> for an international cooperation. project
 - Does it fit the programme's objectives and requirements?
 - Does it address your institution's (/sector's /country's) needs?
- 3. Your idea is **supported** by your institution
 - You have discussed it with the relevant authorities
 - You will be supported for the proposal preparation and, if successful, the project implementation

Erasmus+

4. You can set up (/be part of) an international partnership for carrying out the project



Building the partnership

- Start locally
 - In your institution
 - Among local stakeholders (other universities, education providers, employers, local / regional / national authorities, NEOs in Partner Countries etc.)
- International partners
 - Colleagues / Organisations you (/your partners) have worked with in the paşt
 - Partners are not individuals, they are <u>organisations</u>
- Each partner must
 - Bring a concrete added value to the project
 - Gain a concrete added value from the project

Erasmus+



Role of Partner Country partners

Identifying the needs

- What reforms are essential in the institutions / the country?
- What has been already done? (do not duplicate!)

Involvement in the drafting

- Don't let others decide on your behalf!
- Provide detailed information and statistics on the situation in your country

Concrete involvement in work packages

- > Sufficient involvement of relevant Partner Country staff members
- Participation as Work Package leaders if relevant



Drafting the proposal

- Drafting the proposal is a <u>shared exercise</u>
 - ➤ It reflects the partners <u>shared responsibilities</u> at project implementation stage
- Polish Write
- > It requires require revisions/rewriting in order to ensure
 - ✓ Consistency and coherence
 - ✓ Comprehensiveness
 - ✓ Fulfilment of all the E+ Action requirements

Choose the language common/comfortable to all project partners

Have your final Draft **proofread** by a colleague not involved in the proposal







Remember

- 1. A good proposal is (much) more than a successful proposal.
- 2. Nobody else knows your institution's or country's needs better than you.
- 3. Have everybody on board as early as possible.

When to start? Now!





Part II

Preparing the application based on the requirements of the call



Common requirements for all Erasmus+ International dimension – Centralised Actions

- Submission on-line to EACEA
- eForm & Annexes
- Criteria
 - Eligibility Criteria
 - Exclusion Criteria
 - Selection Criteria
 - Award Criteria



Eligibility criteria

Most of eligibility criteria (see E+ Programme Guide – CBHE action) are featured in the e-form; following criteria are double checked by the Agency staff:

- Formal submission requirements
- Grant size (and duration)
- Applicant, Partners and Partnership requirements (number of HEIs, status of the grant applicant & partners, etc.)

It does not matter
how well the project has been
how well the project has been
designed if it does not fulfil the
designed if it does not fulfil the
designed if it does not fulfil the
this will lead
this will lead
to the automatic rejection of
the proposal



Award Criteria: 1. Relevance (30 points)

DEFINITION

- The project contributes to the achievement of the policy objectives of the participating partners
- It is based on and addresses real needs & problems of the target groups



CONTENT

- How clearly the project addresses the Programme objectives and priorities (thematic, geographical priorities: National / Regional)
- Needs analysis and presentation of specific problems addressed
- Definition of target groups
- What is **innovative** or complementary to other initiatives
- How the project was prepared



Award Criteria: 1. Relevance (30 points)

IMPORTANT:

- Carry out a **needs analysis before** application submission (including evidence coming from identified data sources)
- Identify and quantify clearly the **target groups** (helps to demonstrate the impact of the project)
- Show how the project addresses the chosen national or regional priority and how the priority links to national or institutional strategies
- Demonstrate that the project is innovative / complementary to other initiatives





Award Criteria: 2. Quality of Design and Implementation (30 points)

DEFINITION

- The activities
 proposed are
 appropriate to
 achieve the specific
 and wider objectives
- It uses the most appropriate methodology
- It demonstrates a logical and sound planning capacity



CONTENT

Description of the project as a whole, including:

- specific objectives
- activities, expected outcomes, wider and specific objectives
- academic content and pedagogical approach
- involvement of academics, students and stakeholders at large
- quality control processes



Award Criteria: 2. Quality of design and implementation (30 points)

IMPORTANT:

- Ensure that the **work plan is clear and realistic**, with well-defined activities, realistic timelines, clear deliverables and milestones
- Ensure the **coherence** between the Logical Framework Matrix, work plan, budget and Work Packages
- Include relevant quality assurance measures (internal and external) and mitigating actions for challenges and risks
- Demonstrate cost-effectiveness



Award Criteria: 3; Quality of Team and Cooperation (20 points)

DEFINITION

- The partnership includes all the skills, recognised expertise and competences required
- Suitable distribution of tasks
- Sound communication and coordination



CONTENT

- Presentation of the partners competences and roles in the project
- Description of any complementary skills, expertise and competences directly relating to the planned project activities
- ensure regional dimension
- Planned measures to ensure effective communication



Award Criteria: 3. Quality of team and cooperation (20 points)

IMPORTANT:

- Demonstrate that the team has the necessary skills,
 experience, expertise and management support
- Demonstrate that the partners are chosen on the basis of their specific and complementary expertise (academic and project management)
- Explain the foreseen mechanisms for good coordination, decision making and communication
- Demonstrate that **Partner Countries are sufficiently** involved in the project implementation and decision making
- Involve also "newcomer" institutions





Award Criteria: 4. Impact and Sustainability (20 points)

DEFINITION

- Information/outcomes of the project are made available to groups not directly involved (multiplier effect)
- Optimal use of the results during & beyond the project lifetime
- Expected impact will be substantial and sustainable in the long term (financial, institutional and policy level)

CONTENT

- Expected **impact** at different levels
- **Dissemination strategy**: outputs to be disseminated, target groups, dissemination tools & activities
- Measures planned to ensure the sustainability of project outcomes and outputs at three levels: financial, institutional and political
- Evidence of impact on HE at institutional / national level in PCs





Award Criteria: 4. Impact and sustainability (20 points)

IMPORTANT:

- Focus must be on Partner Countries demonstrate the expected impact on Partner Country higher education institutions
- Describe foreseen multiplier effects outside of participating organisations
- Provide a clear dissemination plan covering the project lifetime and beyond
- Present your **plans for the sustainability** of the project results (including co-funding and other forms of support)





Part III

Selection process



Part III: Selection Process

Project Proposal EACEA Eligibility check Assessment by Independent Ranking on experts **QUALITY** based on award criteria **EACEA Consultation: EU Delegations Evaluation** Committee EACEA, DGs, **Final ranking EEAS** list **EACEA Grant Award Decision** Erasmus+



Part III: Selection Process

EACEA takes decision based on:

Evaluation
Committee's
recommendation,
taking into account:

ranking list on quality

the results from the consultation process

the budget available for each region

the need to achieve a geographical balance within a region

sufficient coverage of the priorities



Questions & Answers

